

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/691,312	10/23/2003	Don-Gyou Lee	8733.904.00-US	6396	
* - * - ·	7590 08/13/200 ONG & ALDRIDGE L	EXAMINER			
1900 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006			BODDIE, WILLIAM		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2629		
,			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	08/13/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/691,312	LEE ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
William L. Boddie	2629

	William L.	Boddie	2629	[
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 August 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI			-	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same oving replies	day as filing a Notice o : (1) an amendment, a eal (with appeal fee) ir	of Appeal. To avoid aba offidavit, or other evide or compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $2$ months from the mailing date	of the final r	ejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX (b). ONLY CI	MONTHS from the mail	ing date of the final reject	ion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the tension and t shortened sta than three n	the corresponding amour atutory period for reply or	nt of the fee. The appropri iginally set in the final Off	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion there	of (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to	the date of filing a brie	ef, will not be entered b	ecause
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be</li> </ul>	nsideration w);	and/or search (see N	OTE below);	
appeal; and/or				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	-	ing number of finally r	ejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			Nama - Canal Anna - India	(DTOL 204)
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>		ached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if s	·	·	•
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14 and 16-23</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>a</u>	<u>Il</u> rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	-			
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	ıt does NO∃	Γ place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/0	8) Paper No(s)		
13.		l	1.1.11	wite
		, sun	SUMATI LEFKOWITZ	

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the newly added limitations have sufficiently alterered the scope of the independent claims. Specifically, the limitations detailing compensation of input data based on color reproducibility determinations has sufficiently altered the scope of the independent claims to require further search and reconsideration.